




UNDP | GEF  
DANUBE  
REGIONAL  
PROJECT

JANUARY 2007

# Case Study: Monitoring and Assessment of Removal Capacities of Riverine Wetlands - Moldova

## Final Report



WORKING FOR THE DANUBE AND ITS PEOPLE

**PREPARED BY:**

Center for Strategic Environmental Studies ECOS

**AUTHOR:**

Dumitru Drumea



**Center for Strategic Environmental Studies ECOS**

Address: 58, sos. Hicesti, office 77

Chisinau MD28 Moldova

Tel/Fax: + 373 22 723 567

## PREFACE

This assignment is directed at the implementing a small case study on nutrient removal by wetlands that will assist with the preparation of a Guidance Document to assist with the Water Framework Directive implementation.

The overall objective of this Component within the DRP's Phase 2 on "Monitoring Nutrient Removal Capacity of Wetlands" has been identified as

To identify the benefits of wetlands as nutrient reduction/ retention facilities and the contribution of wetlands in this role to the WFD Programme of Measures.

The overall goal of the project was to propose measures for nutrient reduction due to the wetland restoration together with the development of the monitoring network for the quantification of the efficiency of the nutrient reduction. Involvement of local authorities allowed selection of sites for potential restoration and providing of recommendations for future efforts to reduce nutrient loads on water ecosystems through wetland restoration activities.

Specific objectives of the project were:

- > To provide an assessment of nutrient sources in the region and quantify them, using statistical, scientific and other data on nutrient content in the components of environment and nutrient emissions in the region
- > To identify main problems associated with the wetland nutrient removal capacities in the region and to estimate main pathways of nutrients in wetland areas.
- > To evaluate options for nutrient reduction due to wetland restoration
- > To select demo wetland restoration sites in the region
- > To develop recommendations for monitoring program on nutrient reduction efficiency for wetland restoration and development of the network of wetland restored sites in the Yalpugh and Cahul river basins

The implementation of the assignment was addressed next issues: inadequate practices of nutrient management due to improper agricultural practices in the region; insufficient study of the area, poor information on the quality of environment, water, soil and sediment quality and data availability; environmental degradation due to the high nutrient loads and erosion; deterioration of water quality of the rivers and artificial lakes; reduction of nutrients loads originated from different types of economy on water ecosystems; development of measures aimed on the wetland restoration in the region and prepare a list of project files, as well as strengthening cross border cooperation towards reduction of nutrient loads and promote wetland restoration activity in the region.

The outputs and outcomes from this project will be utilized and further developed in the context of the development of the basin wide Danube River Basin Management Plan and implementation of the EU WFD in Moldova.

The Project implementation will contribute to the development of a regional approach for to the elaboration of the river basin management plan, in line with the EU WF and the countries commitments to the ICPDR.

Responsibilities of Moldovan team experts were assigned as follows:

| Name                | Position     | Responsibilities   |
|---------------------|--------------|--|
| Dumitru Drumea      | Team Leader  | Overall project coordination and management<br>Identifying sources of data, their processing and analysis.<br>Selection of wetland restoration sites.<br>Calculation of nutrient balance.<br>Inception and final reports writing |
| Tatiana Belous      | Local expert | Collecting and processing data on: agriculture, population, forestry and precipitation.<br>Selection of wetland restoration sites.   |
| Anghelina Covalenco | Local expert | Collecting and processing data on surface water, groundwater and wastewater quality<br>Selection of wetland restoration sites.   |
| Ciolacu Eugen       | Local expert | Mapping of sampling and wetland restoration sites.<br>Selection of wetland restoration sites.  |

## TABLE OF CONTENT

|        |   |    |
|--------|---|----|
| 1.     | INTRODUCTION.....   | 7  |
| 2.     | PROJECT BACKGROUND AND EXISTING NUTRIENTS-RELATED INFORMATION .....     | 8  |
| 3.     | METHODOLOGY .....   | 8  |
| 3.1.   | EVALUATION OF THE RESULTS OF THE SAMPLING CAMPAIGN .....                | 9  |
| 3.2.   | EVALUATION OF THE STATISTICAL DATA.....                                 | 9  |
| 3.3.   | CONSULTATION MEETINGS WITH LOCAL AUTHORITIES .....                      | 10 |
| 3.4.   | INTEGRATED DATABASE AND GIS IN THE REGION.....                          | 10 |
| 4.     | NUTRIENT BALANCES FOR THE YALPUGH AND CAHUL BASINS .....                | 10 |
| 4.1.   | METHODS USED.....   | 10 |
| 4.2.   | METHODOLOGY OF ANALYSES .....   | 11 |
| 5.     | 5. NITROGEN AND PHOSPHORUS BALANCES .....                               | 11 |
| 5.1.   | ESTIMATION OF NITROGEN AND PHOSPHORUS REMOVAL BY WETLANDS.....          | 11 |
| 5.1.1. | Quality of surface water.....   | 11 |
| 5.1.2. | Groundwater quality (deep wells).....                                   | 12 |
| 5.1.3. | Groundwater quality (shallow wells) .....                               | 12 |
| 5.2.   | NUTRIENT STOCK IN VEGETATION .....                                      | 12 |
| 6.     | NUTRIENTS INPUT FROM ANIMAL FARMING.....                                | 13 |
| 7.     | NUTRIENTS INPUT FROM AGRICULTURAL SOIL .....                            | 15 |
| 8.     | NUTRIENT INPUT FROM FORESTRY.....                                       | 16 |
| 9.     | NUTRIENTS IN GROUNDWATER .....  | 17 |
| 10.    | 9. ROLE OF THE WETLANDS IN THE YALPUGH AND CAHUL RIVER BASINS .....     | 18 |
| 11.    | EXPECTATIONS BY THE PROJECTS .....                                      | 19 |
| 12.    | MOTIVATION FOR THE SPECIFIC PROJECTS IN THE AREA OF CONCERN .....       | 20 |
| 13.    | LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL BACKGROUND FOR THE WETLAND RESTORATION.....     | 21 |
| 14.    | POTENTIAL FOR WETLAND RESTORATION IN THE YALPUGH AND CAHUL RIVER BASINS | 22 |
| 15.    | CONCLUSIONS .....   | 23 |

Annex 1 Nutrient-related information on the Cahul and Yalpugh river basins

Annex 2 Nutrient Removal by Riverine Wetlands: Problems and Solutions in Yalpugh and Cahul River Basins, Moldova – wetlands demonstration site

## ABBREVIATIONS

|         |   |
|---------|---|
| CTSNP   | Complex Territorial Scheme for Nature Protection                        |
| BAP     | Best Agricultural Practice  |
| DANUBIS | Nutrient Management in the Danube Basin and its Impact on the Black Sea |
| DRB     | Danube River Basin  |
| DRP     | Danube Regional Project   |
| EU      | European Union  |
| WFD     | Water Framework Directive   |
| GIS     | Geographical Information System   |
| ICPDR   | International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River         |
| MAFI    | Ministry of Agriculture and Food Industry                               |
| MENR    | Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources                               |
| RBMP    | River Basin Management Plan   |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The necessity of the development of the project was based on information on the water quality in regard to nutrient in the southern part of Moldova. Case-study regions are located in the most vulnerable zone of the country with great water scarcity. Water resources are extremely limited and desiccation of Yalpugh and Cahul wetland areas led to strong deterioration of natural ecosystems in the region.

On the base of project results one could estimate actual nutrient loads on water ecosystems and identify main sources of pollution with the nutrients. The balance needs a lot of data. Partially these data were obtained during sampling campaign organized by experts from the "ECOS", Regional Center for Strategic Environmental Studies. Hydrometeo Service (national reference lab for the Danube Convention) performed analysis of collected samples. Sampling stations in the frame of the study did not repeat those included in transnational monitoring network for the Danube basin. Results from local statistics often do not correspond to the reality (no data on fuel consumption, waste management, harvesting of the biological resources like fishing, cutting of trees, reed belts, etc). Existed data on population do not include migration capacity, real incomes of the people and thus create difficulties in estimation of nutrient fluxes from localities. Estimation of animal breeding is also a problem, because of lack of relevant statistics.

There is no monitoring on ground waters in the region. Several data were obtained from the Sanitary- Epidemiological Service, which performs analysis for drinking water sources. These data are also limited and do not cover all localities (30-35% of all localities are included in the network). This Service measures mainly mineral forms of nitrogen. Phosphorus in the ground waters is not measured and some data were obtained in the frame of actual study. This data did not show any alarm picture on phosphorus in the ground waters, which could be explained by high alkalinity of soils, waters and rocks in the region, small precipitations (<350 mm/year), etc.

The basins of the Yalpugh and Cahul rivers cover around 4300 km<sup>2</sup>. Cathment areas of these rivers are located in Moldova and lakes Yalpugh and Cahul, in which they discharge, in Ukraine. Around 200000 people live in the basins of the rivers dealing mainly with the agricultural activity (grape cultivation, orchards, perennial crops). According to the statistical data around 80% of basin area is under different types of agricultural activities. Average application of fertilizers is around 10 kg/ha of nitrogen and around 1 kg/ha of phosphorus.

Irrigation lands used to be developed in the middle of 70<sup>th</sup> in the valley of the Yalpugh river, but high TDS content did not allow to use constructed facilities for irrigation and actually irrigated lands in the area are practically absent.

Waste water facilities exist in relatively big settlements in the area like Comrat (20.000 people), Taraclia (12.000 people), Vulcanesti (12.000 people), Ceadir-Lunga (10.000 people). Industrial waste waters (mainly from food processing industry) are treated on municipal facilities and then are released to the Yalpugh river. Urban population is connected to sewer system on the level of 30-40%. Rest part of urban population use mainly septic tanks. There are no waste water treatment facilities in rural localities, where main part of population of the region lives.

## 2. PROJECT BACKGROUND AND EXISTING NUTRIENTS-RELATED INFORMATION

High nutrient loads and their consequences are recognized as one of the most severe problems in the region together with the water scarcity. Nutrient reduction measures and monitoring on their efficiency are main priority for local environmental authorities. Running project on control of nutrients from agriculture indicated some measures, which could lead to the reduction of nutrient loads.

Pre-requisite for the developing of the nutrient reduction policy for the region of Lower Danubian lakes (Yalpugh and Cahul basins) is to prepare a balance of nutrients cycling in environment and on the base of sampling campaign data, data from statistical and literature sources to calculate nutrient loads, which could be removed through wetland functioning (stock in vegetation, bottom sediments, alluvial soils, sediment retention, etc). Also the improved nutrient monitoring should be developed in the Yalpugh and Cahul river basins for the support of future policy-making aimed at sustainable development of the region.

Nutrient balances in the region were developed in the frame of the Haskoning and nutrient balance studies, performed in the middle of 90<sup>th</sup>. The target years for them were 1988 and 1992. Statistical data, which were the basis for these studies could not reflect all changes in nutrient balances after the Soviet era. Nutrient reduction capacities of wetland areas were tried to be estimated in the frame of mentioned projects, but it did not allow estimate nutrient removal capacities of wetlands.

On the basis of different efforts for estimation of nutrient reduction capacities of wetland areas a number of important issues were identified for recent study. Most important could be next: what was the impact of recent economic changes on nutrient cycling in wetland areas; what data are needed for calculation of nutrient loads, which could be removed by wetlands; how to use water, soil, sediment and vegetation data on nutrient content for calculation of nutrient removal capacities of wetlands; how should monitoring on efficiency of wetland restoration for nutrient reduction should be organized in future.

Recent study is based on the detailed data collection from statistical sources on nutrient consumption in the region, content of nutrients in the components of environment, results of sampling campaign, etc.

Nutrient-related information on the Cahul and Yalpugh river basins is presented in Annex 1.

## 3. METHODOLOGY

Traditional methods for assessing environmental quality in the wetland areas were used for estimation of nutrient loads and identification of its part reaching water ecosystems. Material accounting included assessing of statistical data on:

- > agriculture: application of mineral and organic fertilizers, animal breeding, collection of livestock, land use in the basin, etc;
- > industry: production of nutrient content goods, emissions with nutrient content (energy production, fuel consumption, etc);
- > background information on water, soil, sediment vegetation quality, atmospheric precipitation, results of the sampling campaign;



- > consultation meetings with local authorities;
- > municipal data on waste water treatment;
- > literature data on content of nutrients in different waste produced in private households, etc.

Material accounting allowed calculation of stocks of nutrients in different parts of wetland areas, identify and quantify main issues of the pollution problems and allowed planning of certain actions aimed at wetland restoration and nutrient reduction.

### **3.1. EVALUATION OF THE RESULTS OF THE SAMPLING CAMPAIGN**

In the frame of the project water quality was evaluated from monitoring network and sampling campaign. Samples have been collected from main water bodies – Comrat, Congaz and Taraclia. In addition to it water samples were collected from main river in upper – 2 stations, middle – 2 stations and lower Yalpugh, Cahul river was sampled in the lower part on 2 stations (see map 1 in Annex 2: Wetlands Demonstration Site). Sampling was performed in May and October 2006. The results of sampling campaign are presented in Annex 1.

Sampling campaign was organized in order to cover the gaps for background data. There are 2 stations on Yalpugh river, where only water quality is monitored twice per year. Actual sampling campaign included collection of samples of sediments from the river and water bodies, alluvial soils in wetland areas, soils from watershed, vegetation, atmospheric precipitations. Analysis of collected materials was performed in the Hydrometeo Service lab, authorized for TNMN in the frame of the ICPDR. This laboratory participates in the interlaboratory studies and performances for nutrients for last 5 years were satisfactory.

### **3.2. EVALUATION OF THE STATISTICAL DATA**

Statistical data included analysis of national and local statistics, plans for social and economical development of the region, use of nutrient content goods, etc. That target year for these data was period of 2004 – 2005. Statistical data on emissions of nutrients with waste waters were obtained from local WWTPs laboratories. Partially samples from these labs were parallel analyzed in the Hydrometeo Service lab. The results showed satisfactory results (>75% of collected samples gave comparable results).

Input of diffuse sources on nutrient loads, reaching wetland areas was estimated on the base of statistical data on fertilizers application, production of organic wastes, which are used as fertilizers or stocked in an unorganized manner under open space. Estimation of nutrient loads is based on the content of N and P in organic wastes and volumes of these wastes obtained from statistical sources.

Statistical data on nutrient emissions from population not connected to the sewer system in the region practically do not exist. Any collection of organic wastes in the rural settlements is not developed and a lot of organic wastes are stocked in ravines, river banks, suburbs of localities, etc. These dump sites are not included in the statistics, but could present a significant impact on nutrient loads reaching wetland areas.

### **3.3. CONSULTATION MEETINGS WITH LOCAL AUTHORITIES**

Main goal of the consultation meetings with local authorities was estimation of the capacities of local institutions in implementation of wetland restoration activities and nutrient reduction measures associated with wetland restoration activities. For these purposes around 25 local authorities: head of regional environmental Inspectorate, experts of regional environmental Inspectorate, local branches of the “Apele Moldovei”, mayors of the villages, etc were contacted in the frame of the project. Main question for discussions was expectations of local authorities of wetland restoration activities and their willingness to restore wetlands, vision on implementation of nutrient reduction measures, etc

Local authorities expressed great concern on high concentration of nutrients in the waters (surface and shallow). They also expressed a commitment to introduce nutrient reduction measures and contribute to wetland restoration activities in the region. A short presentation of the project results was made at the end of November in Comrat in regional environmental Inspectorate on the role of wetlands in nutrient reduction and results of the project.

Local authorities also informed on the plans of planting of the green zones in the wetland areas near town of Comrat. They reported that around 20% of planted trees reach 3 year old and that overgrazing is main problem in the wetland areas. Another concern of local environmental authorities in regard to wetland is deepening of the Yalpugh river bed (1-1,2 m) in its upper part for avoiding of floods.

### **3.4. INTEGRATED DATABASE AND GIS IN THE REGION**

The development of an integrated database-GIS for the Yalpugh and Cahul rivers is vitally needed for strengthening capacities of local institutions to harmonize the national reporting system compatible with the European one. Actually GIS is practically not developed in the region and used maps are from the Soviet era edition of 1969 with upgrading in the mid of 80th. Scanned versions of these maps were used for actual project.

## **4. NUTRIENT BALANCES FOR THE YALPUGH AND CAHUL BASINS**

Nutrient balances were calculated on the base of data from national and regional statistical sources, scientific data obtained from different reports and articles, sampling campaign developed in the frame of actual project.

### **4.1. METHODS USED**

The results of the sampling of main natural components together with the sampling of runoff from different types of the landscapes gave information for the calculation of the fluxes of nutrients in environment of the case-study area.

Data on water quality were obtained based on the national statistics for the monitoring stations and sampling campaign during the study. At the same time this information was strongly

incomplete, because key natural water ecosystems for this study are not included in the national environmental monitoring network. For the resolving of this gap the water and bottom sediments sampling was undertaken. Special attention was paid to the bottom sediments in lakes and Yalpugh and Cahul rivers, because the amount of nutrients accumulated here is the stock of these elements in the system and strongly influences on the state of theirs' balance.

## **4.2. METHODOLOGY OF ANALYSES**

Several analyses of the soil, water, liquid part of the bottom sediments were performed in the frame of the study. Mineral forms of nitrogen and phosphorus were determined. Standard methodology was used for these purposes. Samples were collected during the field trips. Surface waters were collected in the winter, spring, summer and autumn period. Statistical data from different institutions and scientific reports were also used for the completion of the data.

Bottom sediments were collected during spring and summer periods. Liquid phase was received after rotation of the sample under 700 rotations per minute. Then the sample was analyzed as water sample according to the standard methodology.

Water and bottom sediment samples were collected at the beginning of the water body, in the middle part and near the barrage. The results were summarized and average meaning was calculated.

## **5. 5. NITROGEN AND PHOSPHORUS BALANCES**

This chapter presents the results on the estimation of the nutrient balances in the case-study region. Based on them total amount of nitrogen and phosphorus entering and leaving ecosystems have been calculated. For these activities it was necessary to use the data on the use pattern of different goods, land use, waste disposal and production, to make a set of estimations based on the results of sampling campaign in the frame of the project for last period.

### **5.1. ESTIMATION OF NITROGEN AND PHOSPHORUS REMOVAL BY WETLANDS**

#### **5.1.1. Quality of surface water.**

##### **Characterization of water quality**

##### *Data collected*

The registered yearly average concentrations of N-NH<sub>4</sub>, N-NO<sub>2</sub>, N-NO<sub>3</sub>, P-total in the Yalpugh river during the last 12 years are presented in Annex 1 The data were obtained through monitoring programme by Hydrometeo Service.

##### *Surface water quality*

According to the estimation the water of the Yalpugh and Cahul rivers do not correspond to drinking quality standards (GOST-2874-82 "Drinking water") in terms of mineralization, pH, DO,

chlorine, sulfates, oil compounds, N-nitrite and N-nitrate, silicon, ferrum. In many cases, the concentration of some components were higher than adopted limits. The exceeding concentration rates (indicated in brackets) were indicated for sodium (1.2 - 2.0 times), BOD (1.1 - 2.5), phenols (4 - 9), organochlorine pesticides, NH<sub>4</sub> (1.3 - 1.5), copper (6 - 7), zinc (8 - 12).

According to the multi-annual statistics the average concentrations of SS in the Yalpugh river are fluctuating mainly in limits 2500 - 5000 mg/l (9800 - 11000 mg/l).

The TDS exceeds the standards for chlorine in 1.7 times for drinking, sulfates till 3.1), sodium up to 14.1 and mineralization up to 3.2 times. Average concentration is in the limits of 2 g/l.

### **5.1.2. Groundwater quality (deep wells)**

The Moldavian hydrogeological basin includes itself the following water-bearing horizons (complexes): baden-sarmatian, carbon-silurian and congerian.

The mineralization of waters varies from 0.5 g/l till 2.5 g/l with the most frequent concentration 1.5 g/l. The hardness varies from 0.3 to 1.5-2.0 mg-equivalent/l. The concentration of different forms of nitrogen is approximately the same as for previous geological structures: nitrate does not exceed 25-30 mg/l, nitrite - 2 mg/l and ammonium - up to 1.5-2.0 mg/l.

Fluoride and heavy metals are determined on the low levels.

### **5.1.3. Groundwater quality (shallow wells)**

The general picture of the shallow groundwater quality is painful and very anxious. At the district level between 70 and 90% of investigated wells used by population for drinking aims give water of unacceptable quality. In the overwhelming majority of cases, nitrates determine the MAC - exceeding situations. In the majority of villages the maximal nitrate concentrations reach several hundred milligrams per liter, in some settlements going up to 1500-2000 mg/l.

In the majority of wells the water is highly mineralized. The share of wells with MAC-exceeding values of TDS ranges from 45 to 60% in the studied basins. The maximal absolute values of TDS in many villages go up to 2500-5000 mg/l and in some locations even up to 7500-8000 mg/l. An important part of this mineral content is provided by chlorides and sulphates, whose concentration exceed MAC in 15-30% of investigated wells. In some cases chlorides exceed 1000-1500 mg/l while sulphates go up to 5300 mg/l.

## **5.2. NUTRIENT STOCK IN VEGETATION**

On the base of measurements of total dried biomass in the floodplains of Yalpugh and Cahul rivers one could make a conclusion that reed biomass in the artificial lakes is on the level of 30 tons per ha. Congaz and Taraclia lakes are covered by the reeds with total area of 30-35%. This means that total biomass of reed is around 16500 tons.

Average nitrogen concentration in the dried biomass is 23200 mg/kg and 2820 mg/kg. This means that total amount of nitrogen stocked by water vegetation is around 700 kg per ha and around 85 kg of phosphorus. This means that for the artificial lakes amount of nutrients stocked in vegetation is 385 tons of nitrogen and 46,8 tons for phosphorus.

Terrestrial vegetation biomass is around 2 tons per ha. Average content of nitrogen in dried biomass is around 18000 mg/kg and phosphorus - 6900 mg/kg. Total area of meadow vegetation is around 600 000 ha. This means that total biomass of grass vegetation is around 1200 000 tons. Thus total amount of nutrients accumulated in the dried biomass is around 40000 tons for nitrogen and around 1600 tons for phosphorus.

Agricultural vegetation estimated as cropped biomass is around 3 tons per ha. Content of nutrients in agricultural areas is approximately same as for meadow grass vegetation (fertilizers practically are not used). This means that approximately same amount of nutrients, which is accumulated in grass vegetation, is removed due to the agricultural activities.

## 6. NUTRIENTS INPUT FROM ANIMAL FARMING

Total input of feed into the sub-process "Farm" (public and private sector of agriculture) can be estimated as 1850 t N + 350 t P for the region.

Table Output from Livestock Farming

| Source                      | Process of destination | Amount, t/region  |                 | N-, P-fluxes, t/region*a |                    |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
|                             |                        | Yalpugh river     | Cahul river     | Yalpugh river            | Cahul river        |
| Manure                      | Agricultural soil      | 156498 +<br>46450 | 57488<br>+79750 | 1257 (N)<br>292 (P)      | 759 (N)<br>196 (P) |
| Gaseous losses, farm        | Troposphere            |                   |                 | 332 (N)                  | 268 (N)            |
| Percolation, farm           | Groundwater            |                   |                 | 200 (N)<br>50 (P)        | 120 (N)<br>30 (P)  |
| Animal products             | Industry               | 23 516            | 16 882          | 348 (N)<br>37 (P)        | 279 (N)<br>31 (P)  |
| Direct discharges of manure | Surface waters         | -                 | -               | -                        | -                  |

*Animal products.* The removal on nitrogen and phosphorus by producing animal biomass was estimated basing on slaughter statistics and N-, P-concentrations in the concerned products. The total removal of nitrogen and phosphorus by producing animal biomass (in public and private sector) can be estimated as 620 tons N + 70 tons P for both basins.

*Manure.* The amount of produced manure was calculated according to the average annual number of livestock and average physiological amount of excreta. Data concerning the public sector of agriculture are presented in the table 4.2. However the amount of manure applied on agricultural soil in the public sector was lower than the produced manure. The difference was partly lost during storage and partly stocked.

Table. Manure produced in the public sector

| Livestock    | Assumed excretion rate, t/cap per year | Yalpugh basin    |                | Cahul basin      |                |
|--------------|--|------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|
|              |  | Number livestock | Manure, t      | Number livestock | Manure, t      |
| Cattle       | 9                                      | 15 895           | 143 000        | 10 214           | 92 000         |
| Pigs         | 1.6                                    | 29 611           | 47 000         | 19 319           | 31 000         |
| Sheep        | 0.7                                    | 17 873           | 12 500         | 12 061           | 8 400          |
| Poultry      | 0.02                                   | 155 900          | 3 118          | 82 866           | 1 657          |
| Horse        | 9                                      | 490              | 4 400          | 425              | 3 800          |
| <b>TOTAL</b> | <b>20,32</b>                           | <b>119769</b>    | <b>210 000</b> | <b>124885</b>    | <b>136 850</b> |

The primary data and calculation of N-, P-fluxes from manure produced in the areas in the public sector are presented in the following table.

Table. N-, P-fluxes from manure produced in the public sector

| Livestock    | Content in manure*, % |      | Yalpugh river basin |                    | Cahul river basin  |                    |
|--------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|              | N                     | P    | N-flux, t/region*a  | P-flux, t/region*a | N-flux, t/region*a | P-flux, t/region*a |
| Cattle       | 0.39                  | 0.12 | 558                 | 172                | 359                | 110                |
| Pigs         | 0.57                  | 0.15 | 270                 | 71                 | 177                | 47                 |
| Sheep        | 0.92                  | 0.16 | 115                 | 20                 | 77                 | 13                 |
| Poultry      | 2.22                  | 0.40 | 69                  | 12.5               | 36                 | 6.6                |
| Horse        | 0.35                  | 0.08 | 15                  | 3.5                | 13                 | 3                  |
| <b>TOTAL</b> |                       |      | <b>1 027</b>        | <b>279</b>         | <b>613</b>         | <b>180</b>         |

Note:\* - data from CTNPS, 1991

Data concerning the amount of manure originating from the private sector of agriculture are presented in the following table . The same excretion rates were assumed.

Table Manure produced in the private sector

| Live-stock   | Number livestock |               | Manure, t     |               | N-flux, t/region*a |             | P-flux, t/region*a |             |
|--------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|
|              | Yalpugh basin    | Cahul basin   | Yalpugh basin | Cahul basin   | Yalpugh basin      | Cahul basin | Yalpugh basin      | Cahul basin |
| Cattle       | 1 831            | 4 070         | 16 500        | 36 650        | 64                 | 143         | 20                 | 44          |
| Pigs         | 2 157            | 6 468         | 3 450         | 10 350        | 20                 | 59          | 5                  | 15.5        |
| Sheep        | 32 407           | 38 367        | 22 700        | 26 850        | 209                | 247         | 36                 | 43          |
| Poultry      | 140 000          | 129 633       | 2 800         | 2 600         | 62                 | 58          | 11                 | 10.5        |
| Horse        | 112              | 356           | 1 000         | 3 200         | 4                  | 11          | 1                  | 2.5         |
| <b>TOTAL</b> | <b>176507</b>    | <b>178894</b> | <b>46 450</b> | <b>79 650</b> | <b>359</b>         | <b>518</b>  | <b>73</b>          | <b>116</b>  |

It was assumed that the whole amount of manure produced in the private sector was applied to land. However 20% of the nitrogen was assumed to be lost during the storage.

Thus the total amount of manure applied to agricultural land in the case-study regions (both from public and private sector) was estimated as 2000 tons N + 400 tons P for the whole region.

## 7. NUTRIENTS INPUT FROM AGRICULTURAL SOIL

*Manure.* About 55.000 tons manure from public sector and private sector were applied on agricultural soil in **Yalpugh and Cahul river basins**. The nutrient content of this amount of manure which was applied on agricultural soil (in public and private sectors) was estimated as 440 tons N + 70 tons P in both case-study areas.

*Deposition.* An average rate of atmospheric deposition of 3.8 kgN/ha and 0.35 kgP/ha per year was assumed basing on the average concentration of N and P in atmospheric precipitations in this region and average annual rainfall during the last decade. Thus the total nutrient input on agricultural soil by deposition was 205 tons N + 19 tons P the region.

*N-fixation.* The flux of nitrogen induced by the N-fixation activity of symbiotic and non-symbiotic micro-organisms was estimated as around 1 100 tons..

*Mineral fertilizers.* The whole amount of mineral fertilizer applied on agricultural soil within the reference case-study areas is very low and on the base of annual application the load of N for in the region could be estimated as **200 tons and for P as 20 tons**.

*Crops 1.* The total nutrient removal with harvested crops was derived from the harvest statistics and nutrient concentrations in different crops. The output from agricultural soil with crops was estimated as 4100-4700 tons N and 620-780 tons P in the region.

*Percolation, agriculture.* According to literature data nitrogen percolates into groundwater even from non-fertilized soil. That is why we have considered the percolation separately from non-fertilized soil and applied fertilizers.

Percolation from non-fertilized soil (assuming a percolation rate for arable soil and perennial plantations of 6.5 kg/ha and for grassland 2 kg/ha per year): in the region of Yalpugh and Cahul rivers: 590 tons.

Supplemental percolation, from application of mineral fertilizers (assuming a percolation rate of 15% from the fertilizers (as N) applied on arable land and perennial plantations, and 4% - from fertilizers applied on grassland): 442 from arable ( $2\,946 \text{ tons} \cdot 0.15$ ) + 38 from perennial ( $254 \text{ tons} \cdot 0.15$ ) + 31 from grassland ( $777 \text{ tons} \cdot 0.04$ ) = 511 tons;

Supplemental percolation, from application of manure (assuming a percolation rate of 25% of N from manure applied on arable land and perennial plantations, 10% of N from manure applied on grassland, and an intermediary value of 15% for the manure applied in the private sector): 400 tons.

Thus the total agricultural percolation of nitrogen can be estimated as 1068 tons in Yalpugh river basin and 508 tons in the Cahul basin.

It was assumed that less than 1% from the phosphorus applied on agricultural land as fertilizer percolates into groundwater. For the case-study regions that means a flux of 5-10 tons P/year.

*Denitrification, agricultural soil.* Basing on the literature data the following average denitrification rates were assumed: for arable land - 9.5 kg/ha per year, for perennial plantations - 24 kg/ha, for grassland - 4 kg/ha, for irrigated soil - 33 kg/ha.

According to these data the total amount of N-losses by denitrification from agricultural soil in the case-study region was estimated as 1450 tons.

*Gaseous losses of N-compounds, agricultural soil.* Assuming that 20% of the total amount of N contained in manure applied on agricultural soil are lost we can estimate this quantity as approximately 400 tons.

The N-loss of mineral fertilizer is assumed by 15-20% and taking into account very small volumes of their actual applying this factor can be neglected.

*Erosion, agriculture.* The natural and antropoc features of the region make it very susceptible to water erosion. The relief is rather fragmentated; 90% of the arable land have a slope exceeding 1 degree. The precipitations fall mostly in summer time and are highly intensive. The granulometric composition of soils also conditions the washing out of soil particles. The part of perennial crops supporting erosion (Zea mais, sunflower) is rather high on the watersheds (till 65% of all agricultural lands in the case-study region).

The mentioned particularities determine very active erosional processes in the region. According to the estimations of local experts (CTNPS, 1991) 18 tons of soil per year are washed away from a hectare of arable land due to water erosion. For orchards and vineyards this amount is estimated as 12.5 tons/ha per year. Assuming for grassland a value of 2 tons/ha per year we may estimate the total quantity of soil washed away from the agricultural land. For both Yalpugh and Cahul river basins this amount exceed 700 000 tons of soil, which means an annual loss of about 1400 tons N and 700 tons P.

Another important part of the nutrient load to surface water with the runoff originates from the agricultural soil. A coefficients of 30% for N and 20% for P were assumed due to poor storage conditions and agricultural practices. The resulting loss of nutrients can be estimated as 205 tons N + 9,5-10,5 tons of P.

*Stock, agricultural soil.* On the base of analysis performed during the study one could estimate the average amount of nutrients in the 1-meter layer of agricultural soil as 20 tons N and 19 tons P per hectare. So the agricultural stock of nutrients is 1,080,000 tons N and 1,000,000 tons P for each case-study region.

## 8. NUTRIENT INPUT FROM FORESTRY

Timber. All forests in studied areas have the status of non-exploitable resource, having an exclusively protective function. Therefore only maintenance and regeneration wood cutting is permitted.

The average biomass of trees is 80-90 m<sup>3</sup>/ha. Recalculated in dry weight, that means 24 000 - 28 000 tons or a nutrient stock of 1000-1100 tons N + 30-35 tons P.

The average concentration of total nitrogen in the soil aeration zone (0-500 cm) of forest soils is about 0.08% (Bondarchuk, 1981), the concentration of phosphorus - 0.07% (Moldavian soils, 1984). Thus the amount of nutrients is considered to be about 60 t N/ha and 55 t P/ha and the nutrient stock of soil on wooded area of the region can be estimated as 440 000 tons N + 400 000 tons P.



## 9. NUTRIENTS IN GROUNDWATER

*Infiltration, surface water.* The infiltration rate from water bodies to groundwater is estimated by local hydrologists at 300-400 litres per year per m<sup>2</sup> of the water body. Total area of water ecosystems in the region is around 1500 ha. So the volume of infiltrated water can be estimated at 400 000 - 600 000 m<sup>3</sup>/year, what means 2-3 tons N and very insignificant for P.

*Base flow.* The Yalpugh river valley is considered to be an area of discharging of shallow groundwater into surface waters (Cahul flow is very small and was not taken in calculation). According to the estimations of local experts the main part of alluvial complex is drained by the Yalpugh river. According to national statistics the shallow groundwater resources represents 3-4% of the total groundwater resources. The total groundwater resources in the case study regions are estimated at 5800 m<sup>3</sup>/day. So we could assess the contribution of shallow groundwater to the Yalpugh river as 30 000 m<sup>3</sup>/year. Considering an average concentration of nitrogen in shallow groundwater of 20-40 mg/l the local N-flux through base flow can lie in the range between 0,5 and 1 tons per year. The input of phosphorus into surface waters through base flow is insignificant.

The N-, P-fluxes were calculated assuming an average daily consume of water of 40 l/inhabitant for the population not connected to public water supply. However the estimation of average concentration of N and P in shallow groundwater is a difficult problem. The water table of freatic groundwater is generally 5-10 m deep and highly exposed to pollution, especially with nitrate. According to the information of the local water and health authorities in early 1990s between one third and two thirds of the individual wells provided nitrate-polluted water in the case-study region.

On the base of the results of the sampling campaign undertaken during 2005 – 2006, average range of concentrations of 20-40 mg N/l was assumed for the calculations of nitrogen flux from shallow groundwater.

260 000 inh \* 40 l/inh \* 365 = 3796000000 m<sup>3</sup> or around 65.000 tons of N for both case-study areas

The flux of P from shallow groundwater seems to be negligible.

*Percolation septic tanks.* 260 000 persons in the case study regions are not connected to sewerage and the waste water produced is disposed in septic pits. These pits are never isolated and their content percolates completely into groundwater. The nutrient loads into groundwater can be easily calculated multiplying the number of inhabitants by the specific emission factors for N and P:

$$260\ 000\ \text{inh} * 3.3\ \text{kgN/year per inh} = 800\ \text{tons N};$$

$$260\ 000\ \text{inh} * 1.1\ \text{kgP/year per inh} = 260\ \text{tons P};$$

In Moldova the waste water treatment plants ensure the common treatment of waste water from households and industry.

*Run-off.* This good correspond to the nutrient content in annual flow of Yalpugh river. The average yearly discharge into the Yalpugh river is 1 mln m<sup>3</sup>. The assumed concentrations are 6-7 mgN/l and 0.3-0.5 mgP/l. The resulting flux is 7-10,2 tons N + 0,5-1 tons P per year.

Based on estimation of nutrient balance in the region one could conclude that the content of nitrogen and phosphorus in sediments of the lakes located in the protected areas strongly depends on pollution coming from settlements and restoration of wetland areas can lead to the decreasing of nutrient loads till 20%. Main form of nutrient content in soils and sediments is organic, which consists around 90-95% of nutrient content in sediments. Main source of

nutrients loads on environment is superficial runoff, which is responsible for around 80% of all nutrients reaching water ecosystems.. In the solid phase of bottom sediments of the studied lakes organic forms of nitrogen and phosphorus predominate and values increase from upper lake to lower one. The content of organic nitrogen and phosphorus varies from 2400 till 4200 mg/kg and organic phosphorus from 600 to 900 mg/kg.

Nitrogen presents in the soils prevailingly in an organic form, it makes 91-96%, and the organic phosphorus makes on average of 62-84% of the total phosphorus. Of the mineral nitrogen 65% is the share of the ammonium nitrogen.

In general, the share of the mineral phosphorus is 2-4 times bigger than the share of the mineral nitrogen in the researched soils.

The biological accumulation of nutrients by vegetation is higher for aquatic (reeds), where the content of nitrogen and phosphorus is 420 higher than its content in the sediments. In the soils it depends on the agricultural crops grown on these territories and is 20-25% lower than for aquatic vegetation.

## 10. 9. ROLE OF THE WETLANDS IN THE YALPUGH AND CAHUL RIVER BASINS

Studied region was strongly affected by massive irrigation of lands organized in the middle of 70th in the USSR. Wetlands in the flood plains of both rivers were practically totally changed and non affected areas in the valleys of the rivers practically do not exist. In addition to it upper part of the Yalpugh river is dredged and its bed is being deepened 1-1,5 meters for the protection from inundation. Total length of deepening works is around 25 km of the river.

Based on the results of consultation meetings with local authorities one could identify next roles of the wetlands in the region:

1. Agriculture. Agricultural activities are developed in the middle part of the Yalpugh valley and cover around 20% of the floodplain territory. Application of fertilizers is very low. According to the statistical data around 10 kg of N while phosphorus is practically not applied. Organic fertilizers application is also very poor. Main crops: sunflower – 15%, mais – 25%, wheat – 10%, vegetables – 5%, rest of the lands (mainly in upper part till 50%) is used for pasture. Overgrazing affects development of meadow vegetation, where its biomass during summer period was around 20g/m<sup>2</sup>.
2. Irrigation. All hydro technical works in the middle of 70th were designated to the irrigation. Irrigation was stopped in the middle of 80th because of high mineral content in the surface water. Actual state of water bodies is strongly affected by siltation. According to the estimations around 50% of the Taraclia water body is loosed. Water bodies are used for fish farming activity (fito-fags and carp species). At the same time an unauthorized fishing is prospering in the region. Congaz and Taraclia lakes are also used for recreation purposes, but there are no plans for their management for these and other purposes. Actually total surface of wetland areas affected by desiccation in the Yalpugh river is around 90% and in Cahul around 60% of rivers floodplains. Actually there are no lands irrigated from the Yalpugh and Cahul rivers.
3. Development of the organic agriculture. Local authorities expressed theirs' strong commitment in the development of the organic farming in the region and in the wetland areas. At the same time there are no plans and programs to encourage local farmers for this.

4. Landscape planning. Trees planting campaign near big towns (Comrat, Congaz and Taraclia) in the wetlands (around 5-7% of total wetland areas) is organized annual. These efforts are often missed because of overgrazing and low public awareness. Only 5-7% of planted trees (mainly willow) reach 5 year age. Planting of green carcasses on the watershed is organized on the agricultural lands and relevant actions should also be developed in the wetlands lands used for agriculture. There are no nature protected sites in the region. Upper part of the Taraclia lake (around 500 ha) and lower part of the Yalpugh and Cahul rivers (around 1000 ha) at the confluence with the Yalpugh and Cahul lakes in Ukraine could be proposed as wetland and nature protected zones.
5. Flood control. Actually around 25 km of the Yalpugh river length in the upper part between Comrat and Congaz localities is affected by deepening of the river bed. Average volume of extracted material is around 1,5 m<sup>3</sup>/m of the river bed. At the same time flooding is not a danger for the region and these measures seem useless. That is why it was proposed to local authorities to stop these activities and thus assure flooding of wetlands with more water, which could cover wetland areas during spring and rain period.

Based on the experience from other projects one could assume that implementation of the organic agricultural practices, construction of green carcasses in the wetland areas, organizing of the recreational areas, etc could contribute to the nutrient reduction till 10-20% of actual loads. Water scarcity in the region is another issue, which could be partially improved through wetland restoration activities. All these issues were discussed with local authorities and they welcome development of the management plans aimed at nutrient reduction in the region. At the same time wetland restoration is not well known in the region as an option for nutrient reduction.

## 11. EXPECTATIONS BY THE PROJECTS

Actually water authorities in Moldova are developing Integrated River Management Plan for the Moldavian part of the Danube river basin. Environmental and other sectoral authorities expect management plan for the nutrient reduction in the region and thus improvement of water quality, overcoming of water scarcity, etc.

Analysis of expectations of the project is based on the consultation meetings with local authorities, NGOs, experts etc. Main conclusion is that local authorities expect that this project will contribute to the development of the plans for the social and economical development of the region. They expect that due to the nutrient reduction measures (including wetland restoration) the amount of nutrients reaching water ecosystems will reduce. They mainly rely on ground water sources for the development of the drinking water supply and expressed great interest in the overcoming of the water scarcity in the region. Improvement of the hydrological status of the rivers through wetland restoration and thus increasing of the water resources in the region was discussed as an option for social and economic development, especially drinking water supply network.

Organic agricultural practices are also recognized as a priority for the region. It was also mentioned that this will improve gender equity in the region allowing creation of more jobs for local population and larger involvement of women in social and economic activities. Rural tourism was also mentioned as a priority for local development. For these purposes local authorities talked about necessity for inclusion in plans for development of the region such nutrient reduction related issues as:

- > development of the network of protected areas and green carcasses in the flood plains of the studied rivers
- > reduction of animals grazing in the wetlands and creation of strictly protected sites (till 100 m<sup>2</sup>) for the observing of the vegetation recovery in the wetlands
- > stopping of the deepening of the river bed and thus flooding of larger territories in the wetland areas
- > construction of platforms for stocking of organic wastes in rural localities and organizing of the sanitation of the territory
- > development of the network of construction wetlands for the processing of waste waters and composting of organic wastes
- > Presented nutrient reduction related issues could be a specific projects supported by local authorities.

Some of the projects to be implemented in the area of concern are the following:

1. Identification of the mechanism of sediment control by wetland areas. Overall Objective: To establish mechanism of sediment control towards promotion of wetlands restoration in the lower Moldovan part of the Danube river basin. Estimated cost 50,000 EUR
2. Creation of the green carcasses in restored wetlands. Overall Objective: To promote establishment of green carcass (Lower Prul Lakes- Cahul -Yalpugh) towards improvement of nutrient removal capacity in the lower Moldovan part of the Danube River basin. Estimated cost 500,000 EUR
3. Promotion of organic farming in wetland areas. Overall Objective: To reduce nutrients input from agriculture on wetlands towards improvement conditions in natural habitats. Estimated cost 500,000 EUR
4. Creation of nutrient removal platforms and production of the compost. Overall Objective: To reduce nutrient load in the watershed by improving organic waste collection system and composting. Estimated cost 600,000 EUR

## 12. MOTIVATION FOR THE SPECIFIC PROJECTS IN THE AREA OF CONCERN

Main motivation for the development of the project is social and economic development of the region, which is the poorest part of Moldova. Development of the projects will allow creation of new jobs, attraction of the financial resources to the region as from local sources as well as from international Institutions. Further development of industrial facilities like refinery, transportation network linking oil terminal in Djurdjulesti with other parts of Moldova, storage for oil products etc will increase pollution loads including nutrients on environment.

So as agricultural activities predominate in the region and water scarcity is great issue of concern, local authorities in cooperation with central one are going to attract investments in organic farming, development of rural tourism, etc. That is why main motivation for nutrient reduction and wetland restoration seem to be overcoming of water scarcity, improvement of water quality and creation of facilities for rural tourism in the region

## 13. LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL BACKGROUND FOR THE WETLAND RESTORATION

Main institution responsible for the management of natural resources is Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources (MERN). Ministry develops plans for environmental resources management. State Ecological Inspectorate subordinated to the MERN performs ecological expertise and approves results of environmental impact assessment of certain projects, issues permits on environmental resources use, etc.

There exist no a special law on wetlands and also legal entity (institution) responsible for management of wetlands.

According to the Land Code, wetlands along with other lands are managed my local authorities on the territory of which they are situated and/ or economic entities which use the lands where wetlands are situated.

If the wetlands are situated in forest areas managerial responsibilities are shared between local authorities and State Forestry Agency MoldSilva like in cases with Lower Prut Lakes and Lower Dniester. MoldoSilva is an institution responsible for management of forestry resources and subordinates directly to the Government of Moldova Dniester.

However, in case wetland is situated on the territory protected by state, along with local authorities, managerial responsibilities are also shared with MENR (Lower Prut Lakes).

Recently (Oct 6 & Nov 24 2006), the Parliament of Moldova has approved in two readings a Draft Law (Nr. 2285) on insertion of modifications and addenda to be included into Law on Fund on Natural Territories Protected by State (Nr. 1538 as of 1998) concerning wetlands of international importance Lower Prut Lakes and Lower Dniester. This draft law proclaims Lower Prut Lakes and Lower Dniester as territories under protective regime to be generally supervised by the MENR. However, according to the draft law the managerial responsibilities as before are going to be shared between MENR, MoldSilva and local administrations/ economic entities.

Technical design of projects for wetland restoration is mainly assured by design institute "ACVAPROIECT" subordinated to the "Apele Moldova" which in turn is a subdivision of the Ministry of Agriculture and Food Industry. "ACVAPROIECT" is an institution responsible for design of all technical water-related projects. "ACVAPROIECT" prepares relevant proposals and submit them both to institutions like Academy of Sciences, universities etc. and local administrations for approval and implementation.

Actually in Moldova the percentage of protected areas has increased from 2,5% till 4,3% of national territory and target is 7%. Local authorities expressed their readiness to extend nature protected activities in the wetlands and MoldoSilva could provide more trees for planting in the wetlands.

There are no protected areas in the Yalpugh and Cahul river basins. However, in 2001 the Parliament of Moldova approved Action Plan on Development of the National Ecological Network (Nr. 112 as of April 27, item A2) which relates to wetlands, as well.

In this regard wetlands as areas performing extremely important ecological functions are under a special interest of the central ecological authority of the Republic of Moldova.

As wetlands belong to the local authorities (municipalities), they are responsible for maintaining of the lands according to the plans of their use. Local authorities approve plans for the land use and assure its implementation.

According to the Law on Water Protection Strips, green strips have to be planted near the rivers with the width of 50 m from both sides. In case of Yalpugh and Cahul rivers this law is poor implemented, because of natural conditions, which do not allow trees growing (high salt concentration in soils and waters, water scarcity, etc). Trees planting is responsibility of local authorities while MoldSilva has to provide general plan of afforestation and also planting materials.

Hyrometeo Service provides monitoring of the water quality of Yalpugh river at the its lower part and also Taraclia water reservoir. Cahul river is monitored in its lower part (Gavanoasa village). Sediment quality is monitored irregularly, and only in the frame of specific studies organizing by the Academy of Sciences and "ACWAPROIECT" Institute.

There are no plans for wetland management in Moldova approved by central authorities. Local authorities also do not have any document, which could regulate theirs' specific managerial activities in the wetland areas. Relevant permits on land use in wetlands and other areas are issued by local authorities with approval from local environmental agency/ inspection (depends on administrative raion). According to obligations of Moldova to be fulfilled under implementation of EU WFD it is presumed that Integrated River Basin Management Plan will include wetland management issues and thus strongly contribute to wetland restoration activities.

Scientific research in wetland areas is also rather fragmentary. Nutrient removal capacities of wetland areas were estimated in the frame of the actual study. Obtained data on nutrient removal capacities of Yalpugh and Cahul wetlands are innovative for Moldova, and this research has to be continued and enlarged.

Ministry of Agriculture and Food Industry developed the concept for the promotion of organic farming. Wetland restoration activities as a potential for nutrient reduction are not mentioned in this concept. The Ministry of Agriculture in cooperation with the Ministry of Tourism and MERN developed a concept on rural tourism, which includes organizing of the visits in the protected areas located in wetlands. Main issue of these concepts is development of rural areas, protection of natural ecosystems, organizing of the territories, etc

Allocations for wetland restoration activities from state budget are very insignificant and relate mainly for the organizing of the monitoring, scientific research and supporting of the existence of protected areas (located in the wetlands). Creation of national parks in the wetland areas is a concern of authorities, but there is no consensus with land owners. There are no special financial allocations for compensation of the land costs in wetland areas for farmers.

Wetland areas could be included in the restoration activities only if they belong to the local public institutions. Potential of such lands is around 30% of all wetlands in the Yalpugh and Cahul areas. Mainly these lands do not present any agricultural value and are often used for grazing.

## 14. POTENTIAL FOR WETLAND RESTORATION IN THE YALPUGH AND CAHUL RIVER BASINS

Main aim of the project was identification of the potential of nutrient removal capacities of the wetland areas in Yalpugh and Cahul river basins. Analysis showed that actual state of floodplains in the basins of these rivers does not facilitate nutrient reduction function of wetland areas. First of all this happens due to overgrazing in the flood plains, hydrotechnical works performed in the 70<sup>th</sup>, lack of green protected carcasses, etc.

Studied wetlands are largely used in agriculture in the lower parts of the rivers for cultivation of perennial crops. Restoration of the upper parts of the water bodies could facilitate recovery of vegetation and thus contribute to the sediment transport, accumulation and stock of nutrients by vegetation, reducing evaporation and thus keep more waters in the lakes. According to estimations this could lead to 5-10% of nutrient reduction on water ecosystems due to wetland restoration.

On the base of the discussions with local authorities potential for wetland restoration is rather high, especially in the upper and middle part of the basin. Here the value of lands from agricultural point of view is rather insignificant and they are not distributed among farmers. So the owners of these lands are municipalities and significant financial contributions will not be needed.

Sites proposed for wetland restoration in the basins of Yalpugh and Cahul rivers cover around 2-3% of wetlands. That is why it is difficult to expect significant nutrient reduction at the moment. Nevertheless these sites could serve as a model for further activities in this domain and one could expect 10% of nutrient reduction in case of restoration of around 20% of wetlands in the case-study area. This target could be achieved in the nearest 5-7 years.

## 15. CONCLUSIONS

Main source of nutrient loads in the region is agricultural activity. This sector is responsible for around 90% of all nutrient loads in the region. That is why reduction of nutrient loads on water ecosystems should be organized in order to introduce best agricultural practices on the watersheds and wetlands. Deterioration of wetlands could be limited through stopping of deepening of the river bed, limitations on grazing, rising of public awareness, etc.

Local authorities expressed strong commitment for the wetland restoration activities. Wetland restoration issues have to be included in the developing IRBMP and nutrient reduction could reach the target of around 10% of all nutrient loads on water ecosystems coming from the watershed. Introduction of green carcasses on watersheds and in wetlands could increase the amount of nutrients stocked in vegetation, regulate sediment transport in the rivers floodplains and thus improve water quality in regard to nutrients of artificial lakes created on the Yalpugh river bed.

Institutional capacities of local authorities in wetland restoration are very limited due to the financial situation, but due a low agricultural value of upper and middle stream wetlands this issue does not seem a problem. At the same time technical capacities in order to estimate real effectiveness of nutrient reduction are also limited due to the lack of relevant equipment, poor statistic, etc.

Personnel of local institutions never had any training on wetland management and their awareness on this issue is very low. Trees planting is organized as a public action and any plans, documents, legislation, etc on wetland management in Moldova are not developed.

## REFERENCES

1. Bondaruck I. Nitrates in natural waters and rocks of Moldova, Ph.D. dissertation paper. Leningrad, 1981 (in russian)
2. Comprehensive Scheme of Water Supply and Sewerage Development in the Republic of Moldova until 2005, Kishinev, 1992
3. Comprehensive Territorial Nature Protection Scheme of the Republic of Moldova, Kiev, 1991, (in russian).
4. Danube Integrated Environmental Study, Report of the Moldovan Expert team, part 1 and 2
5. Drumea D., Mosanu V., Rogosevsky L, Zmirciuc M. National review: Report of the Moldovan expert team. Environmental programme for the Danube river basin, 1993
6. Long-term Comprehensive Programme on Environmental Protection and Rational Use of Natural Resources, volume "Water", Kishinev, 1991 (in russian)
7. D. Drumea. Danube Pollution Reduction Program, National report on water quality, Vienna, 1999
8. I. Crupenicov. Moldavian soils, Chisinau, 1984 (in russian)
9. National Strategic Action plan for Environmental Protection, Kishinev, 1995
10. Republic of Moldova: Economics and the Environment, Report to ECE, 2006
11. Republic of Moldova: National Environmental Action Plan, the World Bank, 1995
12. Solid waste, 1979, 519 pages, Moscow (in russian)
13. State Land Cadastre of the Republic of Moldova, Kishinev, 2005
14. Statistical yearly books, Kishinev, 2004, 2005, 2006
15. Stepurin G.F. Reference book on the feeding of domestic animals, Kishinev, 1983, (in russian)
16. The state and the protection of environment in the Republic of Moldova, annual report, Kishinev, 2005
17. Sabelnicova V., Presman M., Zubcova L. Influence of agroecological conditions on the living activity of N-fixation microorganisms in Moldova. In: Nature Protection Under Intensive Agriculture, Kishinev, 1983 (in russian)



**ANNEX 1 NUTRIENT-RELATED INFORMATION  
ON THE CAHUL AND YALPUGH  
RIVER BASINS**

**ANNEX 2: NUTRIENT REMOVAL BY RIVERINE  
WETLANDS: PROBLEMS AND  
SOLUTIONS IN YALPUGH AND  
CAHUL RIVER BASINS, MOLDOVA –  
WETLANDS DEMONSTRATION SITE**



## ANNEX 1

### Atmospheric precipitations in the Yalpugh and Cahul basins in 2006

|                                   |  | NH4 mg/l | N03 mg/l | Nmin mg/l | N tot mg/l | P04 mg/l | P tot mg/l |
|-----------------------------------|--|----------|----------|-----------|------------|----------|------------|
| <b>Atmospheric precipitations</b> |  | 0.6      | 0.35     | 0.97      | 1.15       | 0.023    | 0.035      |
| <b>Runoff</b>                     |  | 1.26     | 1.15     | 2.45      | 14.41      | 0.08     | 0.282      |

### Precipitations in the Yalpugh and Cahul basins, average for 2000-2005

| Month                 |      | 1    | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5    | 6  | 7     | 8     | 9   | 10   | 11  | 12   | total |
|-----------------------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|----|-------|-------|-----|------|-----|------|-------|
| <b>Precipitations</b> | , mm | 14.1 | 4.8 | 5.5 | 9.6 | 26.1 | 57 | 102.6 | 121.9 | 0.3 | 21.9 | 5.3 | 28.7 | 397.8 |

### Water quality of the Yalpugh and Cahul rivers

|                                 |         | NH4   | N03  | N min | P min | P tot |
|---------------------------------|---------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| <b>Yalpugh</b>                  |         |       |      |       |       |       |
| <b>end of 1980</b>              | in mg/l | 1.17  | 4.31 | 5.71  | 0.151 | 0.484 |
| <b>2006</b>                     |         | 2.31  | 7.05 | 9.58  | 0.1   | 0.275 |
| <b>Taraclia water reservoir</b> |         |       |      |       |       |       |
| <b>end of 1980</b>              | in mg/l | 0.5   | 4.1  | 4.97  | 0.164 | 0.502 |
| <b>2006</b>                     |         | 0.84  | 6.85 | 7.92  | 0.094 | 0.275 |
| <b>Cahul river</b>              |         |       |      |       |       |       |
| <b>end of 1980</b>              | in mg/l | 8.9   | 6.49 | 15.7  | 0.328 | 0.64  |
| <b>2006</b>                     |         | 11.56 | 6.58 | 18.5  | 0.168 | 0.274 |

### Biomass

|  |                                   |                |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| <b>reed belts</b>                            |                                   | 2,5 - 3 kg/m2  |
| <b>meadow vegetation</b>                     |                                   |                |
| <b>dry lands</b>                             |                                   | 0,05-0,10kg/m2 |
| <b>wetlands (partially covered by water)</b> | <b>seasonly covered by water)</b> | 0,3-0,5 kg/m2  |

### Soil quality

|                                | humus | NH4<br>mg/kg | NO3<br>mg/kg | P min<br>mg/kg | Ntot<br>mg/kg | Ptot<br>mg/kg |
|--------------------------------|-------|--------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| <b>Upper part of the basin</b> |       |              |              |                |               |               |
| <b>end of 1980</b>             | 2.80% | 45           | 35           | 220            | 4500          | 700           |
| <b>2006</b>                    | 1.90% | 25           | 5            | 150            | 2100          | 500           |
| <b>Lower part of the basin</b> |       |              |              |                |               |               |
| <b>2006</b>                    | 2.00% | 47           | 30           | 175            | 2300          | 520           |

### Sediment quality

|                           |              |    |   |    |      |     |
|---------------------------|--------------|----|---|----|------|-----|
| Yalpugh river             |              |    |   |    |      |     |
| upper part (Comrat)       |              | 12 | 4 | 8  | 2200 | 700 |
| lower part after Taraclia |              | 14 | 4 | 7  | 2600 | 670 |
| water reservoir, Taraclia | (see file 1) |    |   |    |      |     |
| Cahul river               |              | 18 | 5 | 14 | 2700 | 590 |

### Municipal emissions in the Yalpugh and Cahul basins

year 2005

| municipality        | waste<br>water in | BOD th<br>tonnes | SS th.<br>tonnes | P tonnes | N tot<br>tonnes | NNH4<br>tonnes | NO3<br>tonnes |
|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|----------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|
| <b>Basarabeasca</b> | 0.27              | 0.01             | 0.01             |          |                 | 6.62           | 0.68          |
| <b>Taraclia</b>     | 0.08              | 0.01             | 0.01             | 0.12     |                 | 2.07           | 0.43          |
| <b>Gagauzia</b>     | 0.48              | 0.16             | 0.03             |          |                 | 31.78          | 4.28          |

### Application of fertilizers kg/ha

|             | nitrogen | phosphor | organic |
|-------------|----------|----------|---------|
| <b>1990</b> | 50       | 40       | 7500    |
| <b>2005</b> | 7        | 1        | 400     |

### Water resources of the Yalpugh and Cahul rivers

| river          | length in km | cathment area in km2 | volume of water |       |       |
|----------------|--------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------|-------|
|                |              |                      | 50%             | 75%   | 95%   |
| <b>Yalpugh</b> | 142          | 3180                 | 0.09            | 0.04  | 0.02  |
| <b>Cahul</b>   | 39           | 650                  | 0.01            | 0.003 | 0.001 |

**Population**

| town         | number of people | not connected to |
|--------------|------------------|------------------|
| Comrat       | 25900            | 62%              |
| Congaz       | 11500            | 55%              |
| Ceadir lunga | 23800            | 68%              |
| Taraclia     | 15500            | 77%              |
| Vulcanesti   | 18000            | 77%              |

Total population in the region 270000, rural population connected to WWTP's on the level of 5-7%

**Animals in the basin**

|                  |        |
|------------------|--------|
| cattle           | 4070   |
| pigs             | 6500   |
| sheeps and goats | 39000  |
| poltry           | 130000 |

|                 | Assumed excretion rate in | N content | P content in % |
|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| cattle          | 9                         | 0.4       | 0.1            |
| pigs            | 1.6                       | 0.6       | 0.15           |
| sheep and goats | 0.7                       | 0.9       | 0.15           |
| poultry         | 0.02                      | 0.22      | 0.4            |

**Erosion t/ha**

|                       |      |
|-----------------------|------|
| arable lands          | 18   |
| Orhards and vineyards | 12.5 |

Infiltration rate 300-400 l/m2/year from the water body

|                                 | Cahul | Yalpugh |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|
| area of water bodies, km2       | 4.2   | 70.1    |
| volume of stored waters, mln.m3 | 6.8   | 200     |

|                | N03, mg/l |
|----------------|-----------|
| Shallow waters | 400-800   |






UNDP | GEF  
DANUBE  
REGIONAL  
PROJECT

February 2007

# Nutrient Removal by Riverine Wetlands: Problems and Solutions in Yalpugh and Cahul River Basins, Moldova

## Report on Wetland Demo Site



WORKING FOR THE DANUBE AND ITS PEOPLE

**PREPARED BY:**

Center for Strategic Environmental Studies ECOS

**AUTHOR:**

Dumitru Drumea



**Center for Strategic Environmental Studies ECOS**

Address: P.O. Box 1070

Chisinau MD28 Moldova

Tel/Fax: + 373 22 723 567



## PREFACE

This assignment is done on the basis of implementation of UNDP/ GEF DRP Case Study: - "Monitoring and Assessment of Nutrient Removal Capacity of Riverine Wetlands" that will assist with the preparation of a Guidance Document to assist with the Water Framework Directive implementation.

The overall goal of the project was to propose measures for nutrient reduction due to the wetland restoration together with the development of the monitoring network for the quantification of the efficiency of the nutrient reduction.

Selection of demo wetland restoration sites in the region was one of the specific objectives of aforementioned project. Involvement of local authorities allowed selection of sites for potential restoration and providing of recommendations for future efforts to reduce nutrient loads on water ecosystems through wetland restoration activities.

Other objectives of the project were:

- > Providing an assessment of nutrient sources in the region and quantify them
- > Identifying of main problems associated with the wetland nutrient removal capacities in the region and to estimate main pathways of nutrients in wetland areas.
- > Evaluation options for nutrient reduction due to wetland restoration
- > Developing recommendations for monitoring program on nutrient reduction efficiency for wetland restoration and development of the network of wetland restored sites in the Yalpugh and Cahul river basins

The results of this project will be utilized and further developed in the context of the development of the basin wide Danube River Basin Management Plan, implementation of the Joint Action Programme and in general, EU WFD in Moldova.

Recruitment of local staff within UNDP/ GEF DRP DRP Case Study: - "Monitoring and Assessment of Nutrient Removal Capacity of Riverine Wetlands"

Responsibilities of Moldovan team experts in relation to implementation of the given project objective were assigned as follows:

| Name                | Position     | Responsibilities  |
|---------------------|--------------|---|
| Dumitru Drumea      | Team Leader  | Overall project coordination and management<br>Selection of wetland restoration sites.<br>Inception and final reports writing |
| Tatiana Belous      | Local expert | Selection of wetland restoration sites.   |
| Anghelina Covalenco | Local expert | Selection of wetland restoration sites.   |
| Ciolacu Eugen       | Local expert | Selection of wetland restoration sites.   |

## TABLE OF CONTENT

|      |   |    |
|------|---|----|
| 1.   | BACKGROUND .....  | 5  |
| 1.1. | Wetland location and identification .....   | 5  |
| 1.2. | Physiography of wetland .....   | 6  |
| 2.   | SHORT HISTORY OF WETLAND.....   | 7  |
| 2.1. | Original status, main changes and uses in the past.....                           | 7  |
| 2.2. | Problems, efforts and plans.....  | 8  |
| 2.3. | Site characterizations .....  | 9  |
| 2.4. | Status of wetland .....   | 10 |
| 2.5. | Wetland management .....  | 10 |
| 3.   | PROJECT DESCRIPTION .....   | 11 |
| 3.1. | Objectives of the project.....  | 11 |
| 3.2. | Developed design .....  | 11 |
| 3.3. | Methods and sampled parameters.....   | 11 |
| 4.   | CONCLUSIONS .....   | 12 |
| 4.1. | Main sources of nutrients in the area of the Yalpugh and Cahul river basins ..... | 12 |
| 4.2. | Effect of wetland restoration on water quality .....                              | 13 |
| 5.   | LESSONS LEARNED AND OUTLOOK.....  | 13 |
| 6.   | DATABASE .....  | 13 |

## ABBREVIATIONS

|       |   |
|-------|---|
| DRB   | Danube River Basin  |
| DRP   | Danube Regional Project   |
| EU    | European Union  |
| WFD   | Water Framework Directive                                       |
| ICPDR | International Commission for the Protection of the Danube River |
| RBMP  | River Basin Management Plan                                     |

## 1. BACKGROUND

### 1.1. Wetland location and identification

Local name. Comrat valley

Local ID: None

International name. Upper Yalpugh

Geographic co-ordinates: centre point along the river:

latitude: 46°16'03,00"

longitude: 28°39'38,92"


Country: Republic of Moldova

County (or federal states): Gagauz Eri

EU region(s): South - Eastern Europe

**Figure 1 A.** Location of the wetland in the Danube river basin is presented below



**Figure 1 B.** Map of demo wetland sites is presented below

## 1.2. Physiography of wetland

**Wetland type (Ramsar definition):** not applicable

**Climate:** moderate continental. Average air temperature for July – 22,7 degrees for January – minus 3,5. average precipitation 370 mm. 70% of precipitation fall in spring autumn period and 30% during winter period

**Hydrology (surface and ground water):** total basin area of the Yalpugh basin is 3300 km<sup>2</sup>, average flow 4 mln m<sup>3</sup>/year for Cahul river – 900 km<sup>2</sup>, average flow – 3,3 mln m<sup>3</sup>. Due to a hot summer rivers dry up for 3-4 months every 4-5 years. Main water bodies: Taracalia water body – Yalpugh river, constructed in 1982, volume 62,5 mln. m<sup>3</sup>, surface 11 km<sup>2</sup>, Congaz –

Yalpugh river – constructed in 1961, volume – 5,07, surface – 3,08 km<sup>2</sup>, Comrat – Yalpugh river, constructed in 1957, volume 2,60 mln.m<sup>3</sup>, surface 1,52 km<sup>2</sup>

**Biota (vegetation habitat etc):** wetland vegetation is presented by meadow and steppe species. Average biomass for dry lands in non grazed areas is around 50 g/m<sup>2</sup> of dried biomass. There are no protected areas in the basins of the Yalpugh and Cahul rivers. The most typical species Winter flowered stembergia (*Steambergia coichicifora*), Scuat skullcap (*scutellaria supine*), Versicolored meadow saffron (*bulbocodium versicolor*), Cold beard grass (*chrysopogon gryllus*), etc.

**Anthropogenic aspects (settlements, land use, structures, etc):** There are around 90 localities in the region. They cover around 8% of the basin territory. Total population is about 270000 people. Rural population predominates more than 70%. Urban population is concentrated in there main towns Comrat, Taraclia and Vulcanesti. Style of life of urban population is close to those of rural one. Average percentage of population connected to sewer system is less than 10%, while to drinking water supply around 25%. Drinking water quality is assured manly from groundwater resources.

**Area of wetland:** total area of wetland in the Yalpugh and Cahul river basins is around 71 km<sup>2</sup>

## 2. SHORT HISTORY OF WETLAND

### 2.1. Original status, main changes and uses in the past.

Soviet authorities did not give any status to the wetland areas. Studied wetland areas used to be waterlogged till the beginning of mass desiccation activities started at the beginning of 70th. Till that time wetlands were used in traditional trades like reed harvesting for construction needs, fishing and hunting. Irrigation activities and desiccation led to appearing of the salts on the surface of alluvial soils and rising of the salinity of waters. Thus, mass irrigation stopped in 2-3 years after desiccation. At the same time constructed channels, power stations, water pipes and other infrastructure remained and is being destroyed.

In the middle of 80th industrial fishing performed was in Congaz and Taraclia water bodies (till 30 tonnes per year of fish from both reservoirs, Republic of Moldova in figures, Chisinau, 1986)). Actually 90% of wetland areas are used for agricultural purposes. Main crops are located in the lower part of the basins of two rivers (around 20% of total area). Rest of the wetlands can not be used in agriculture due to high TDS content in alluvial soils and water.

Actually there is a good opportunity for restoration activities, because due to financial constrains wetlands are not used in agriculture. Main problem with the nature restoration is overgrazing in the area.

## 2.2. Problems, efforts and plans

Main problem are associated with the *wetland management* in the region are: *lack of any legislative base for wetlands, as well as programs and plans for wetland restoration in the region.*

Proposed wetlands present an importance because Yalpugh and Cahul rivers flowing into the lower Danubian lakes Yalpugh and Cahul thus contributing to nutrient content in these lakes. Meanwhile, studies performed within TACIS project: "Selected actions in Ukraine and Moldova, 1998-1999" showed high nutrient contents in Yalpugh and Cahul Lakes.

These wetlands also serve as a habitat for the species nested in the Yalpugh and Cahul lakes, which form the Danube Delta biodiversity. In particular, wetland hosts such mammals as: *Neomys fodiens* Penn, *Mustela lutreola* L., *Lutra lutra* I (reedbelts of the Yalpugh river fens and water bodies), *Ondatra zibethica* L. (reedbelts of the Yalpugh river (all above mentioned species are included in the "Red Book", 1997, as a species is threatened to be extinct), *Arvicola terrestris* L. (Lower Danube lakes, Lower Prut and downstream of Yalpugh); *Myopotamus coypus* Moll. (specific for Lower Yalpugh fens).

Wetland areas also serve as a habitat for around 100 species of birds *Branta bernicla* (L) B. *ruficollis* Pall, *Tadorna ferruginea* Pall, *Nyroca ferina* (L), *Oidemia nigra* (L), *Somateria mollissima* (L), *Oxyura leucocephala* Scop, *Mergus serrator* (L); reptilian *Emys orbicularis* (L) included in the "Red Book" in 1978, Suborder Ophidia, *Natrix natrix*, *Natrix tessellata* (Laur.) and amphibian: *Triturus cristatus dobrogicus* Kirichescu, *T. vulgaris* (L), *Bombina bombina* (L) included in the "Red book" in 1997, *Pelobatis fuscus fuscus* Laurentus, *Bufo bufo* L., floodlands, widely spread, *Bufo viridis* (Laur.), *Hyla arborea*, *Rana ridibunda* Pall., *Rana esculent*, *Rana temporaria*.

*Flood control* is actually organized by deepening of the Yalpugh river bed in its upper part on the distance around 20 km. the depth of the channel is around 1 – 1,2 m. They also play an important role in sediment control, but this function is affected by deepening of the river bed and siltation of the water bodies.

*Research activities*: research activities in the region were held at the beginning of 70<sup>th</sup> with the objective of feasibility study for wetlands desiccation. After that there were no research. Evaluation of the biodiversity was organized in 1998 in the frame of the development of the map on biodiversity. No special research activities on nutrient reduction by wetland areas were not organized in the region.

There are no any *plans aimed at wetland restoration*. At the same time there is a commitment of local authorities to develop such plans and on their base organize wetland restoration activities to enhance ecological value and nutrient removal capacities of the wetland areas of the Yalpugh and Cahul rivers.

*Priority of nutrient control* derives from: reduction of nutrient loads on lower Danubian lakes, promotion of the development of the network of protected areas, biodiversity conservation, evaluation of the climate changes in the region due to wetland restoration activities, etc

## 2.3. Site characterizations

The pictures illustrating Yalpugh and Cahul river basins wetland demo sites are presented below



Figure 3. Upper part of the Cangaz water reservoir



Figure 4. The view of the lower part of the Yalpugh River valley



Figure. 5. Upper part of the Moldovan part of the Cahul Lake



Figure 6. Grazing activities in the Yalpugh River floodplain



Figure 7. Fish pond in the Cahul River wetland

## 2.4. Status of wetland

*Is the wetland area protected by legislation.* Wetlands in the Yalpugh and Cahul river basin are not protected by legislation. There are no plans to develop any documents in this domain in the region. At the same time local authorities expressed their commitment in creation of the network of protected areas in the basins, which will also include wetlands. Forthcoming Danube river integrated management plan for Moldovian part of the Danube basin will include development of the nature protected areas network in the region for achieving of good ecological status by 2015.

*Wetland restoration activities.* Such activities are held in the frame of the national Day on trees planting. Mainly such actions are organized by local authorities near main localities in the region. Average planting density is 1 tree per 10 m<sup>2</sup>. Only 20-25% of planted trees reach 3 years old. There are no special projects aimed at wetland restoration in the region

## 2.5. Wetland management

*Which management unit the wetland belongs to.* Normally local environmental authorities are responsible for the management of natural resources of wetland areas. In case of the Yalpugh and Cahul rivers Environmental Inspectorate (subdivision of the Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources) has a responsibility for wetlands in this region.

*Who manages wetlands now.* Natural resources of wetlands are managed by local Environmental inspectorate.

*Which are the main supervising/responsible authorities for wetland area.* Local Environmental Inspectorate.

*Are there other wetlands.* Floodplain of the rivers present mainly wetland areas. Artificially around 90% of wetland areas are dried up and actually deepening of the 25 km of the upstream of Yalpugh river bed is continuing.

*Main land uses:* Main land use is agriculture > 80%. At the same time arable lands cover around 40% of the wetland area in their lower part.

*Are expected changes of land use.* Actually due to a financial constrains one could suppose abandoning of agricultural lands from agricultural activities and it could be expected that around 20% of the wetland areas could be involved in restoration activities.

*Main functions.* In the middle of 70<sup>th</sup> wetland areas were presumed for agriculture. Actually the value of wetlands due to unproper management is very low and their functions are not relevant. In future one could expect rising of their functions such as nutrient pollution control, recreational, habitat /ecological.



## 3. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

### 3.1. Objectives of the project

Objectives of the project were the following:

- > Selection of potential sites for wetland restoration in the Yalpugh and Cahul river basins
- > Estimation of nutrient removal capacities of wetland areas and nutrient budgets in the basins of the Yalpugh and Cahul rivers
- > Development of measures for wetland restoration for Moldavian part of the Danube river basin (Yalpugh and Cahul rivers)
- > Collection and analyzing data on the state of environment in the wetland

Development of the Integrated River basin management plan for Moldavian part of the Danube river basin is recognized as a priority for environmental authorities in Moldova. In addition to it this part of the Danube basin was included according to the Odessa conference in February 2006 as a part of the Danube Delta. That is why actual project will contribute to the promotion of wetland restoration activities in this part of the Danube basin and thus certain wetland functions like sediment and nutrient pollution control will play more important role in the nearest 5 years.

### 3.2. Developed design

For the development of the project next activities have been undertaken:

- > sampling of water, soils, sediments, vegetation (see map 1.). sampling was carried out in order to cover gaps in information on nutrient contents in the components of environment. It included main water bodies (Taraclia and Congaz), river bottom sediments. Soil sampling was performed for wetland areas first time for last 17 years. It allowed estimate real contents of nutrients in alluvial soils. Non agricultural vegetation has never been sampled in the region. Actually it covers around 50% of wetlands. Presented network of sampling sites and frequency (in May-June and in September) allowed obtaining of reliable data, which helped in calculation of the budgets together with data obtained from statistical and literature sources. Total 24 sampling stations were selected. They covered practically all main functional zones of the wetland areas in the basins.
- > evaluation of the results of sampling campaign and statistical data
- > calculation of nutrient budget based on data obtained from study
- > consultation meetings with local authorities, NGOs and other stakeholders

### 3.3. Methods and sampled parameters

There were used standard methods ISO for analysis of collected samples.

Mineral forms of nitrogen and phosphorus, total forms of nitrogen and phosphorus were measured. Calculation of the nutrient contents of nutrients in soils were made based on soil

density for the strata of 30 cm. Sediments were sampled on strata of 5-7 cm in upper, middle and lower parts of the water body. Sediments column was sampled from the Taraclia water body till the depth of 60 cm, which allowed estimate nutrient stock in the sediments. Vegetation was measured for biomass and nutrient content. It allowed estimate stock of nutrients in the vegetation and calculation of nutrient budget.

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

*Nutrient control.* According to the results of the project based on the sampling campaign, analysis of literature data, etc one could estimate that nutrient control measures trough wetland restoration could remove around 15-20% of nutrients reaching water ecosystems. The results showed that background flow from agricultural activities is main pollution source with nutrients of water ecosystems. Wetland restoration could lead to the sediment control and thus reduce amounts of nutrients reaching water ecosystems.

Reduction of nutrient loads on water ecosystems should be organized in order to introduce best agricultural practices on the watersheds and wetlands. Deterioration of wetlands could be limited trough stopping of deepening of the river bed, limitations on grazing, rising of public awareness, etc.

*Local authorities* expressed strong commitment for the wetland restoration activities. Wetland restoration issues have to be included in the developing IRBMP and nutrient reduction could reach the target of around 10% of all nutrient loads on water ecosystems coming from the watershed. Introduction of green carcasses on watersheds and in wetlands could increase the amount of nutrients stocked in vegetation, regulate sediment transport in the rivers floodplains and thus improve water quality in regard to nutrients of artificial lakes created on the Yalpugh river bed.

*Institutional capacities* of local authorities in wetland restoration are very limited due to the financial situation, but due a low agricultural value of upper and middle stream wetlands this issue does not seem a problem. At the same time technical capacities in order to estimate real effectiveness of nutrient reduction are also limited due to the lack of relevant equipment, poor statistic, etc.

Personnel of local institutions never had any training on wetland management and their awareness on this issue is very low. That is why their capacities to develop plans on wetland management and restoration is very limited. It is important to organize such training, because local authorities will play crucial role in the implementation of the management plans. Trees planting is organized as a public action and any plans, documents, legislation, etc on wetland management in Moldova are not developed.

### 4.1. Main sources of nutrients in the area of the Yalpugh and Cahul river basins

Main sources of nutrients in the area of the Yalpugh and Cahul river basins were identified as follows:

- > background flow from agricultural lands from watersheds (surface runoff)
- > settlements; unathorised waste disposal, septic tanks, domestic animals, overgrazing, etc

- > improper use of river bed (deepening of the Yalpugh river bed in upper part of the river)
- > former stocks of manure near animal farms and organic fertilizers application on agricultural soils (1 ton per ha). Partially mineral fertilizers could be also considered to be a potential source, because plans for agricultural development of the region presume increasing of their application till 30 kg/ha in the nearest 5 years on watersheds.

## 4.2. Effect of wetland restoration on water quality

Based on estimated nutrient removal capacity one could estimate reduction of nutrient contents in the waters for 15-20%. At the same time sediment control could also lead to reduction of siltation of the rivers and water bodies and thus instead of 3% of annual growing of sediments one could expect till 2% of annual siltation rate. So totally due to wetland restoration it could be possible to expect reduction of nutrient content in the waters till 25%.

## 5. LESSONS LEARNED AND OUTLOOK

- > there is a strong commitment from local authorities to perform wetland restoration activities;
- > necessity in development of the network of protected areas and green carcasses in the flood plains of the studied rivers;
- > animals grazing in the wetlands is a strong issue affecting the state of biomass in the wetland;
- > deepening of the river bed negatively affects hydrological regime of wetland and urgent steps for its stopping have to be undertaken;
- > nutrient reduction measures such as: construction of platforms for stocking of organic wastes in rural localities, composting of organic wastes and organizing of the sanitation of the territory of localities could contribute to the nutrient reduction on water ecosystems.

## 6. DATABASE

There is no special data bases on nutrient reduction by wetlands in Moldova and in the region. The database for project is presented in the annex to main report on Case Study: - "Monitoring and Assessment of Nutrient Removal Capacity of Riverine Wetlands"

## REFERENCES

1. Bondaruck, I. (1981): Nitrates in natural waters and rocks of Moldova, Ph.D. dissertation paper. Leningrad, (in russian)
2. Comprehensive Scheme of Water Supply and Sewerage Development in the Republic of Moldova until 2005, (1992): Kishinev
3. Comprehensive Territorial Nature Protection Scheme of the Republic of Moldova, (1991) Kiev, (in russian).
4. Danube Integrated Environmental Study, (1994): Report of the Moldovan Expert team, part 1 and 2
5. Drumea, D., Mosanu, V., Rogosevsky, L, Zmirciuc, M. (1993): National review: Report of the Moldovan expert team. Environmental programme for the Danube river basin,
6. Long-term Comprehensive Programme on Environmental Protection and Rational Use of Natural Resources, volume "Water", (1991): Kishinev, (in russian)
7. Drumea, D. (1999): Danube Pollution Reduction Program, National report on water quality, Vienna,
8. Crupenicov, I. (1984): Moldavian soils, Chisinau, (in russian)
9. National Strategic Action plan for Environmental Protection, (1995): Kishinev
10. Republic of Moldova: Economics and the Environment, (2006): Report to ECE
11. Republic of Moldova: National Environmental Action Plan (1995): the World Bank
12. Solid waste (1979): Moscow, pp 519 (in russian)
13. State Land Cadastre of the Republic of Moldova, (2005): Kishinev
14. Statistical Year Book, (2004, 2005, 2006), Kishinev
15. Stepurin, G. (1983):Reference book on the feeding of domestic animals, Kishinev, (in russian)
16. The state and the protection of environment in the Republic of Moldova, annual report, (2005): Kishinev
17. Sabelnicova, V., Presman, M., Zubcova, L. (1983): Influence of agroecological conditions on the living activity of N-fixation microorganisms in Moldova. In: Nature Protection Under Intensive Agriculture, Kishinev, (in russian)

## IMPORTANT SOURCES OF INFORMATION

There is no Moldavian specific documents and web-site providing information on wetland restoration activities.

WORKING FOR THE DANUBE AND ITS PEOPLE

[www.undp-drp.org](http://www.undp-drp.org)